

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

JOSEPH EDGELL,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL REGAN, Administrator of the U. S.
Environmental Protection Agency (EPA),

Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:22-cv-00045-JHC

ORDER RE: PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO
COMPEL

This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Responses to Plaintiff's Interrogatories and Requests for Production. Dkt. # 16. The Court has reviewed the materials filed in support of and in opposition to the motion, pertinent portions of the record, and the applicable law. Being fully advised, the Court DENIES the motion without prejudice.

Pages 1 and 2 of the motion say that the following discovery requests are at issue: Interrogatories (ROGs) 2 and 8; and Requests for Production (RFPs) 1, 2, 13, 14, and 19. *Id.* But pages 13 and 14 of the motion say that the following discovery requests are at issue: ROGs 1, 2, and 7; and RFPs 2, 7, 8, 13, and 14. *Id.* And page 1 of Plaintiff's reply

1 says that the following discovery requests are at issue: ROGs 1 and 7; and RFPs 2, 7, 8,
2 13, and 14. Dkt. # 25. Plaintiff's proposed order does not specify any discovery request.
3 Dkt. # 16-1. To obtain an order compelling discovery, Plaintiff *must* clearly specify the
4 discovery requests at issue. The Court will not speculate as to what is at issue.
5

6 Thus, the Court DENIES the motion without prejudice. The Court suggests the
7 parties reconsider their positions in light of the Court's recent order on Defendant's
8 Motion for Protective Order, Dkt. # 29, and ORDERS the parties to meet and confer
9 before Plaintiff files any revised motion to compel (and any motion to extend the time
10 within which to file such a motion).
11

12 DATED this 25th day of August, 2023.

13 

14 _____
JOHN H. CHUN
15 United States District Judge
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24